



Cost-Benefit Calculator - Instructions for use

This calculator is intended to be used under the following conditions:

- Your company directly pays the costs of workers' comp claims (i.e., self-insured).
- You have an active ergonomics program and you pretty much know what you're doing.
- You're considering implementing one or more ergonomics solutions to address specific problems (e.g., back and shoulder injuries from lifting).
- You'd like to evaluate a few different options.
- You're expecting a payback period of less than one year. (The payback period is the time that it takes for the benefits of a solution to pay for the costs of implementing it. Most ergonomics solutions have a payback period of less than one year.)

See the last page of this document for a more thorough list of assumptions for the calculator.

General Instructions:

Note: The Excel file uses macros to make the calculations. You may need to change your security settings in Excel (Tools...Options...Security tab) to allow macros in order for the spreadsheet to work properly. **Important:** Save a back-up copy of the calculator before you make inputs. You should also save the calculator using a new name each time you use it. That way, if you accidentally delete one of the formulas, you will still have a fully functional copy in reserve.

You only need to input information into the boxes. Everything else will be calculated automatically.



Select appropriate options using drop down menus.

Move from one worksheet to the next using the tabs at the bottom of the screen.







N Input Workers' Comp / Inp

Input only the number of employees who will be affected by the solutions you are considering. For example, you may have 12 total employees in your shipping department, but only 9 of them would use the lifting device you're considering.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G							
2	Number of employees in this job/dept./org.													
3		Average hourly salary for these employees: \$12.00 per hour												
4		Numbe	er of WMS	D claims fo	or this iob/ dent_a	ro. per vear:								

Enter the average hourly salary for these employees. You don't need to type in the dollar sign. It will be formatted automatically. You will have to put in the decimal point if it's not a whole dollar amount (e.g., for \$14.50, type in 14.5).







mp 🔪 Input Solutions 🖉 Be

You can input up to three different options you are considering. A typical option for comparison purposes is "do nothing," which involves no costs of changes, but also has no benefits.







tions 🔪 Benefits 🖉 Payba

	A	В	С	D		E	F	G	Н		1 .				
1															
2		Estimated benefits for solution options													
3							,								
4		Option 1	Job Rotat	tion				Option 2	Pallet lift		I				
5			Reduc	ction in claims:		15%			Reduction in claims:		40%				
6		Reductio	n in worker	s' comp costs:	s	5,780		Reduction	n in workers' comp costs:	\$	11,560				
7		R	eduction in	indirect costs:	S	6,358		Re	S	12,716					
8			Increase	in productivity:	S				\$	9,180					
9			Other estin	mated savings:			1		Other estimated savings:						
10							ľ								
11		Total	estimated a	nnual savings:	s	12,138		Total e	stimated annual savings:	S	33,456				
12		Total estimation	ated saving	s over 3 years:	s	36,414		Total estima	ited savings over 3 years:	s	100,367				
13		Total estimation	ated saving	s over 5 years:	\$	60,689		Total estima	ted savings over 5 years:	\$	167,279				
14	1														
15	1														
16															

Estimated benefits from the solution options that you input are calculated automatically and presented on this worksheet. Total estimated annual savings are the potential savings the first year after implementing that solution option. Estimated savings over three and five year periods are also calculated. The cost of implementing the solution is not subtracted out (i.e., these are not net savings). Estimated net savings are shown on the 'Payback' tab.

Solution Effectiveness Estimates										
Type of Solution	Reduction in Claims									
Eliminates exposure	70%									
Reduces level of exposure	40%									
Reduces time of exposure	15%									
Relies on behavior	10%									

Productivity Improvement Estimates											
Level of Increase	Percent Increase										
High – speeds up process	10%										
Medium – reduces wasted motion	5%										
Low – improves comfort/fatigue	2.5%										



ts	s Payback																		
	Α	B	C	D		E		F	G H				I J			K			
2 3 4 5	Payback Period Option 1						tion 1	Job Ro	tation	Option 2 Pallet lift			Ор]					
678		Total first-year cost of control: \$ Annualy recurring costs: \$					\$ 400 \$ -				\$ 5,500 \$ -			s s					
9 10 11 12		Est	imateo imateo	i annu i navb	al benefits:	s	12,138	3 vears		s	33,456 0.16) vears		s		-	s		
13 14 15 16 17 18	Estimated net benefits after one year: \$ 11, Estimated net benefits after 3 years: \$ 36, Estimated net benefits after 5 years: \$ 60,				11,738 36,014 60,289			s s s	27,956 94,867 161,779			s s		-					
19 20 21			Op	tion 1	payback peri	ođ			Op	tion 2	payback p	eriod				Option 3	payback pe	eriod	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	\$14,000.00 \$12,000.00 \$10,000.00 \$6,000.00 \$4,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$4,000.0						ion refits	\$40,000.00 \$35,000.00 \$25,000.00 \$25,000.00 \$15,000.00 \$15,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$- 1 3 5 7 9 11 Month						S1.00 S0.80 S0.60 S0.40 S0.20 S- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Month					olution benefits
4 4	P H/	Input Wo	orkers'	Comp	/ Input Sol	ution	s / Ben	efits) Pay	/back/			<	-						>

Total costs, total benefits, and net benefits for the first year are shown on this tab. The payback period is calculated, and shown graphically for each option. Most ergonomic solutions have payback periods of less than one year. If you find a payback period that is significantly greater than one year, you should use a cost-benefit calculator that allows you to factor in depreciation and a discount rate.

If at any point you have questions, please contact Rick Goggins at <u>ergonomics@LNI.wa.gov</u>.





Assumptions for Basic Cost Benefit Calculator

Intended Use:

- Self-insured company.
- Implementing solution(s) in defined area (i.e., not a company-wide program).
- Company has active ergonomics program with all recommended elements and solutions will be effective.
- Can compare up to three options.
- Expecting payback in less than one year (i.e., not considering depreciation, discount rate).

Injury costs:

- Average costs from SHARP data run on Washington State Fund WMSDs, 2010-2014.
- Average costs used instead of actual company costs because recent injuries may not have incurred eventual total cost of claim.
- Three years of experience used to be consistent with workers' comp.

Indirect costs:

- From OSHA e-tool: <u>http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/etools/safetyhealth/mod1.html</u>
- Less expensive claims have proportionally higher indirect costs.
- \$0 \$2,999 = 4.5 x claim cost
- \$3,000 \$4,999 = 1.6 x claim cost
- \$5,000 \$9,999 = 1.2 x claim cost
- \$10,000+ = 1.1 x claim cost

Effectiveness of solutions:

- Based on Oxenburgh's (1991) assumptions & review of 250 case studies of ergonomics interventions.
- Effectiveness estimates were taken from the low end of the range to be conservative.
- Solutions that eliminate hazard (e.g., lift equipment, semi-automation) 70% effective.
- Solutions that reduce level of exposure (e.g., adjustable workstations, reduced weight of lift) 40% effective.
- Solutions that reduce time of exposure (e.g., job rotation) 15% effective.
- Solutions that rely on employee behavior (e.g., training only, team lifting) 10% effective.
- Percentage reduction in claims = percentage reduction in claims costs = percentage reduction in indirect costs.

Productivity benefits:

- Employers pay for 2,000 hours per year per worker, at \$x.xx per hour.
- Workers are not 100% productive, and may be only 85% productive or less under non-optimal work conditions.
- Ergonomics solutions can help to regain some of the lost 15% productivity by improving work conditions and increasing efficiency.
- Median increases in productivity for successful controls from the case studies in the 15% to 20% range, but how productivity measured not known, probably varies widely.
- Conservative estimates were chosen.
- High productivity increase -10%, medium =5%, low =2.5%.
- Value of productivity equal to annual cost of worker salaries multiplied by percentage increase in productivity.

Oxenburgh, M. (1991). *Increasing productivity and profit through health and safety.* Australia: CCH International.