

P42 SUPERFUND RESEARCH PROGRAM CENTERS

Examples of Tables for RFA-ES-27-004

Illustration 1. Table of Integration with Center. To assist the reviewers in determining the relationship between components, a table should be provided showing interactions between projects and cores (by project title and project/core leader) and the purpose of the interaction for the achievement of the Center's overall objectives. This table should be provided as a pdf file titled "Table of Integration with Center."

Integrated Research Goals	Project 1 (BMR)	Project 2 (BMR)	Project 3 (BMR)	Project 4 (ESE)	Project 5 (ESE)	Core A	Core B	Explanation of Integration
Finding targets and endpoints of X exposure	x		x			x		Results from Project 1 will determine the dose ranges for Project 3. Core A will determine gene networks activated for early biomarker of exposure in Projects 1 and 3.
Pathways of exposure prevention		x	x					Project 2 will identify gene targets for potential interventions (Project 3).
Targets for remediation	x			x	x		x	Project 1 and Core B will screen and identify the most toxic metabolites to inform Project 4 and 5 of which metabolites to monitor and remediate.
Fate and transport of X				x	x			Projects 4 and 5 will share sediment samples from the contaminated and control sites and will determine sensor technology predicts bioavailability.
Remediation effectiveness	x				x			Project 1 will run toxicity tests of byproducts of remediation technology (Project 4).
Analytical Support		x	x	x	x		x	Core B analysis of compound X for Projects 2-5.
	x	x			x		x	Core B analysis of compound Y for Projects 1-2, 5.
Biomarker monitoring			x	x				Project 4 will develop protocols to test sensor for external dose as well as biomonitoring for Project 3.

Project 1: (Title, PI Name); Project 2: (Title, PI Name), etc.

Illustration 2. Table of End-users/Stakeholders and Field Sites. To assist the reviewers in identifying significant project and core interactions with key end-users/stakeholders and field sites, a table should be provided showing which projects and cores interact with which end-users, stakeholders, field sites as well as the type of interaction/activity. End-users/stakeholders would include federal/state/local/Tribal agencies, community groups, community(ies) with significant ongoing and/or planned interactions, other end-users with significant ongoing and/or planned interactions. Field sites would include Superfund, hazardous waste sites, facilities at which hazardous substances are located, or other study/research sites. Applicants are encouraged to cross-reference sections of the application where more details are provided. This table should be provided as a pdf file titled " Table of End-users/Stakeholders and Field Sites."

Applicants may wish to use an asterisk (*) to note if a letter of support is provided in the application.

Note: Please do not name specific individuals, just provide the name of the end-user/stakeholder organization/group. For large Federal Agencies/Organizations, please identify the Office/Region/Department.

End-user/Field Site	Project/Core	Type of Interaction **	Status of Interaction (Ongoing/Planned)
End-user Organization #1 (* letter of support in Admin Core)	TREC	Communication: key point of contact for coordination of activities	Ongoing
	Project 1	Communication: providing expert consultation on ongoing toxicity studies	Ongoing
Community Organization #1 (* letter of support in CEC)	TREC	Engagement: partnership on several initiatives to identify prevention/intervention strategy	Ongoing
Field Site #1 (* letter of support in Project 2)	Project 2	Research: Collection of soil samples for off-site analysis	Planned
	Project 4	Research: epidemiology study of the adjacent community	Planned
	TREC	Engagement: coordinating quarterly meetings between SRP-researchers and community	Ongoing
Government Agency #1 Office of X/Department of Z	Project 3 Admin Core	Research: data management, sharing, and integration with existing agencies' database	Planned
End-User #1	Project 2, TREC	Technology Transfer: working to adapt new remediation technology to sites managed by End-User #1 purview	Planned
...etc.			

**For type of interaction, please use the following categories (Research, Technology Transfer, Communication, Engagement) with a brief description of the type of interaction.

Research interactions may include e.g.: collection/sharing of samples for research or analysis; epidemiology study; basic / toxicology study; fate and transport study; ecology study / monitoring; modeling (e.g. biological or environmental); development of remediation approach / technology

Technology Transfer interactions may include e.g.: application of a new remediation technology; application of a new monitoring/detection technology; application of an intervention strategy; other efforts to transfer research results to an end-user

Communication interactions may include e.g.: information dissemination; providing expert consultation; science-based policy development; data sharing and/or database development/integration; sharing experimental protocols or best lab practices; other training, educational aids, or curricula

Engagement interactions may include e.g.: application of an intervention/prevention activity; survey instruments (Note: surveys may be considered HS research); community meetings; other community partnership

Illustration 3: Table of Changes to Projects and Cores. To assist review of renewal/resubmission applications, a table should be included that lists project and core components (by project title and project/core leader/coordinator) that denotes which projects are new, continuing, substantially modified, finished/completed, or discontinued. This table should coincide in order and number with the projects and cores in the current application. Also, the applicant should indicate which projects are considered biomedical research (BMR) and which are considered environmental science and engineering (ESE) research. Indicate which ESE project(s) supports Mandate 4 (as a requirement in this RFA, at least one ESE project must support Mandate 4). ASSIST assigns projects/cores consecutively; therefore, when numbering projects and cores, numbering must not be skipped if a project from a previous funding period is discontinued in the renewal application. Please label in the following format: new = N; continuing = C; substantially modified = M; finished/completed = F; or discontinued = D. This table should be provided as a pdf file titled "Table of Changes to Projects and Cores".

Current Project Number/Core Name	Project/ Core Status*	Project/Core Leader/Coordinator	Title of Project/Core	Biomedical (BMR) or Environmental Science and Engineering (ESE)**	Previous Project Number/Core Name
Project 1	C	Georgia Matisse (Project Leader)	Markers of Exposures to Mixtures	BMR	Project 1
Project 2	N	Pablo Braque (Project Leader)	Individual Susceptibility to Disease Risk	BMR	New
Project 3	C	Ansel Modigliani (Project Leader)	Redistribution of PAHs	ESE	Project 3
Project 4	M	Georges Picasso (Project Leader)	PAH Remediation	ESE Mandate 4	Project 5
Administrative Core	C	Georgia Matisse (Core Leader)	Administrative Core	-	Administrative Core
Administrative Core	C	Juan Monet (Data Management Coordinator)	Administrative Core (Data Management)	-	Data Management and Analysis Core
Translational Research and Engagement Core	M	Claude Kollwitz (Engagement Coordinator)	Translational Research and Engagement Core	-	Community Engagement Core
Administrative Core	N	Georges Picasso (Training Coordinator)	Administrative Core (Training)	-	Research Experience and Training Coordination Core
Core C	C	Kathe Pollack (Core Leader)	Research Support Core (Biomarker Core)	-	Core E
Discontinued	F	Claude Pollock (Project Lead)	Molecular Effects of Low Level Exposures	BMR	Project 2

* Please label in the following format: new = N; continuing = C; substantially modified = M; finished/completed = F; or discontinued = D.

** For research projects only

Illustration 4: Trainee List. In order to assist the review, a "Trainee List" is required in the Administrative Core's Progress Report attachment for competitive renewal applications. In the "Trainee List," it is important that the application identifies the graduate students and postdoctoral researchers who participated in the Center during the previous funding cycle. This list should be in tabular form and include the names of the trainees, their SRP Center-associated mentor name, project number/core name, position during training experience, current position and affiliation, and location of affiliation (i.e., city/state). (The SRP considers "trainees" as graduate students and post-doctoral researchers that perform research/activities that are supported by the Center.)

Name of Trainee	Mentor Name*	Project Number/ Core Title	Position During Training Experience	Current Position and Affiliation	Location of Affiliation (city/state)
Dr. Jane Jones	Dr. Ella Davis	Project 1	Post-Doc	Post-Doc	Kansas City, MO
Ms. Diana Monheit	Dr. Louis Holiday	Project 2	Master's student	Master's student	Kansas City, MO
Dr. Winslow Spalding	Dr. Dean Armstrong	Project 3	Post-Doc	Remediation Project Manager, ABC, Inc.	Dallas, TX
Dr. Nora Vaughan	Dr. Miles Fitzgerald	Project 3	Post-Doc	Post-Doc	Kansas City, MO
Mr. Stan Renoir	Dr. Etta Martin	Project 4	PhD Student	Biologist, XYZ State University	Phoenix, AZ
Dr. John Getz	Dr. Billie Johnson	Project 5	Post-Doc	Post-Doc	Kansas City, MO
Dr. Sarah Krall	Dr. Robert James	Community Engagement Core	Post-Doc	Project Manager, Dept. of QRS	Washington, DC
(.....continued)	(.....continued)	(.....continued)	(.....continued)	(.....continued)	(.....continued)

* The graduate student/Post-Doc's designated mentor should be listed here, which may be different from the Project/Core Leader listed on the grant application.

Last Updated: May 19, 2026