

IRGM1 supports host defense against intracellular bacteria through suppression of type I interferon in mice

Prashant Rai, ... , Gregory A. Taylor, Michael B. Fessler

J Clin Invest. 2023;133(21):e171982. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI171982>.

Research Letter

Immunology

Infectious disease

To the Editor: IFN- γ enhances cell-autonomous host defense by inducing several families of antimicrobial target genes, including immunity-related GTPases (IRGs). Animals deficient in IRGM1, the best-studied IRG, succumb to numerous bacterial and protozoal infections in a manner that nearly phenocopies that of IFN- γ -null mice (1). This infection susceptibility has been attributed to the cell-intrinsic role of IRGM1 in xenophagy and targeting of pathogen-containing vacuoles (1). Recently, we reported that *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice spontaneously produce excess type I IFN (IFN-I) (2). Although IFN-I is protective against virus, it can compromise antibacterial host defense (3). We hypothesized that IFN-I, rather than defective cell-intrinsic defenses, drives the susceptibility of *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice to bacteria. Consistent with this, we found that *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice succumbed to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Listeria monocytogenes*, as previously reported (1), but *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice lacking the IFN-I receptor, IFNAR1 (i.e., *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} mice), were resistant (Figure 1A). Similarly, the increased pathogen burden in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice following infection with *Salmonella typhimurium* was normalized in *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} mice (Figure 1A). By contrast, during infection with *Toxoplasma gondii*, a pathogen for which IFN-I is host protective (4), *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice had reduced survival, and this was not rescued in *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} animals (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material, including the Supplemental Methods, available online with this article; <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI171982DS1>). To investigate IFN-I's mechanism of compromising host defense in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice, we pursued [...]

Find the latest version:

<https://jci.me/171982/pdf>



IRGM1 supports host defense against intracellular bacteria through suppression of type I interferon in mice

To the Editor: IFN- γ enhances cell-autonomous host defense by inducing several families of antimicrobial target genes, including immunity-related GTPases (IRGs). Animals deficient in IRGM1, the best-studied IRG, succumb to numerous bacterial and protozoal infections in a manner that nearly phenocopies that of IFN- γ -null mice (1). This infection susceptibility has been attributed to the cell-intrinsic role of IRGM1 in xenophagy and targeting of pathogen-containing vacuoles (1).

Recently, we reported that *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice spontaneously produce excess type I IFN (IFN-I) (2). Although IFN-I is protective against virus, it can compromise antibacterial host defense (3). We hypothesized that IFN-I, rather than defective cell-intrinsic defenses, drives the susceptibility of *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice to bacteria. Consistent with this, we found that *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice succumbed to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Listeria monocytogenes*, as previously reported (1), but *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice lacking the IFN-I receptor, IFNAR1 (i.e., *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} mice), were resistant (Figure 1A). Similarly, the increased pathogen burden in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice following infection with *Salmonella typhimurium* was normalized in *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} mice (Figure 1A). By contrast, during infection with *Toxoplasma gondii*, a pathogen for which IFN-I is host protective (4), *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice had reduced survival, and this was not rescued in *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} animals (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material, including the Supplemental Methods, available online with this article; <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI171982DS1>).

To investigate IFN-I's mechanism of compromising host defense in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice, we pursued the *L. monocytogenes* infection model. After infection, *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice had elevated biomarkers of organ damage in sera (Supplemental Figure 1B) and increased inflammation and necrosis in livers and spleens (Supplemental Figure 1, C–E), phenotypes that were rescued in *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} mice. Increased cell death in *Irgm1*^{-/-} livers and spleens was dependent on IFN-I signaling (Figure 1, B and C, and Supplemental Figure 1, F and G). Compared with that in WT and *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} organs, there was increased *L. monocytogenes* growth in *Irgm1*^{-/-} organs (Supplemental Figure 1, H–J). Increased growth was seen by 4 hours after infection in the peritoneum (Figure 1D), the site of *L. monocytogenes* inoculation in our model, indicating that IFN-I suppresses clearance of *L. monocytogenes* upon initial encounter. Indeed, *Irgm1*^{-/-} F4/80^{hi} peritoneal macrophages internalized *L. monocytogenes* normally in vitro (Supplemental Figure 2A) but had reduced killing capacity (Figure 1E). This was associated with decreased lysosomal delivery of *L. monocytogenes* (Figure 1F and Supplemental Figure 2B), despite normal lysosomal mass (Supplemental Figure 2C) and pH (data not shown) in *Irgm1*^{-/-} macrophages. *L. monocytogenes*-challenged *Irgm1*^{-/-} F4/80^{hi} peritoneal macrophages also had higher expression levels of STAT1, STAT2, (Y701)-PO₄-STAT1, and (Y689)-PO₄-STAT2 than their WT and

Irgm1^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} counterparts (data not shown). In vivo, 4 hours after infection by GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes*, only *Irgm1*^{-/-} F4/80^{hi} macrophages showed increased bacterial load (Figure 1G and Supplemental Figure 2D).

Given that IFN-I may induce cell death (3), we examined peritoneal myeloid cells for viability (Supplemental Figure 2E). Lytic death was increased in the *Irgm1*^{-/-} peritoneum on days 1 and 3 after infection and was IFN-I-dependent (Supplemental Figure 3A). Fewer neutrophils were recruited by 4 hours after *L. monocytogenes* infection to *Irgm1*^{-/-} peritonea, but neutrophil accumulation increased dramatically after 24 hours in an IFN-I-dependent manner (Figure 1H), and increased citrullinated histones, a marker of lytic neutrophil death by NETosis, were detected on day 3 (Supplemental Figure 3B). Increased IFN-I-dependent lytic death was also observed among F4/80^{hi} macrophages (Supplemental Figure 3A), perhaps explaining their depletion 24 hours after infection (Figure 1, I and J). Notably, increased staining of phosphorylated mixed lineage kinase domain-like pseudokinase, a necroptosis effector, was observed only in *Irgm1*^{-/-} macrophages (Supplemental Figure 3, C and D). Thus, IFN-I promotes multiple modes of proinflammatory lytic cell death in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice. Accordingly, *Irgm1*^{-/-} peritoneal fluid exhibited an IFN-I-dependent increase in lactate dehydrogenase activity and proinflammatory cytokines (Supplemental Figure 3, E and F).

During peritonitis, death of resident macrophages leads to recruitment and reprogramming of Ly6C^{hi}F4/80^{lo} monocytes into Ly6C^{hi} F4/80^{hi} macrophages, often through an MHCII⁺F4/80^{lo/int} intermediate (5). We observed emergence of a small F4/80⁺ population on day 3 after *L. monocytogenes* infection (Supplemental Figure 2E). Unlike their WT, *Ifnar*^{-/-}, and *Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ifnar*^{-/-} counterparts, all CD11b⁺F4/80^{hi} macrophages in the *Irgm1*^{-/-} peritoneum at day 3 after *L. monocytogenes* infection retained high Ly6C and did not express TIM4 (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B), a maturity marker of peritoneal macrophages (5). The CD11b⁺F4/80^{lo} population in *Irgm1*^{-/-} animals remained Ly6C^{hi} at day 3 and lacked a MHCII⁺ subpopulation (Supplemental Figure 4C). The receptor for colony-stimulating factor-1 (CD115), which is critical for survival and differentiation of monocytes, was repressed in *Irgm1*^{-/-} Ly6C^{hi} cells in an IFN-I-dependent manner (Supplemental Figure 4C). Ly6C^{hi} monocytes were also elevated in *Irgm1*^{-/-} blood and showed reduced CD115 and MHCII (Supplemental Figure 4E). These results suggest that excess IFN-I in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice impairs maturation of inflammatory Ly6C^{hi} monocytes into macrophages, possibly by repressing CD115.

To specifically examine myeloid IFN-I signaling, we infected *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice lacking IFNAR1 solely in myeloid cells (*Irgm1*^{-/-}*Ly6C*⁺*Ifnar*^{Δ/Δ} mice). These mice showed decreased necrotic death of peritoneal myeloid cells, partial rescue of CD115 in




Figure 1. IFN-I induces susceptibility to bacterial infection in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice. (A) Survival curves after infection with *M. tuberculosis* (*n* = 7–10) and *L. monocytogenes* (*n* = 4–5). Spleen CFU on day 21 after *S. typhimurium* infection (*n* = 4–9). (B) Liver on day 3 after *L. monocytogenes* infection stained for TUNEL and DAPI. Scale bar: 200 μ m. (C) Quantification of TUNEL⁺ foci (*n* = 3). (D) Peritoneal lavage CFU at 4 hours, day 1, and day 3 after *L. monocytogenes* (*n* = 4–8). (E) Isolated F4/80^{hi} peritoneal macrophages exposed to *L. monocytogenes* were permeabilized for CFU count after 24 hours. (F) Macrophages were stained for *L. monocytogenes* and lysosome (LAMP1) at 6 hours and quantified for volumetric pixels of *L. monocytogenes* that were LAMP1⁺ (*n* = 32 images). (G) Percentage GFP⁺ after 4-hour infection by GFP-tagged *L. monocytogenes* (*n* = 9–10). (H) Neutrophil and (I) F4/80^{hi} tissue macrophage numbers in infected peritoneal lavage (*n* = 7–11). (J) Representative plot showing depletion of CD11b⁺F4/80^{hi} macrophages at 24 hours. (K) CFU in peritoneal cavity (PerC), liver, and spleen on day 3 after *L. monocytogenes* (*n* = 5–8). Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM. **P* < 0.08, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, and *****P* < 0.0001 (1-way ANOVA with Tukey's adjustment for A and C–I or Student's *t* test for K).

CD11b⁺F4/80^{hi}Ly6C⁻ cells (Supplemental Figure 4, F and G), and reduced bacterial burden (Figure 1K) compared with controls, indicating that myeloid IFN-I signaling compromises myeloid cell fate and host defense in *Irgm1*^{-/-} mice.

Our findings challenge the long-prevailing paradigm that IRGM1 serves as an IFN- γ -induced cell-autonomous host defense effector (1) and suggest instead that IRGM1 supports host defense by preventing excess autocrine and/or paracrine IFN-I from compromising myeloid cell fate and function. Future studies will be required to distinguish autocrine versus paracrine mechanisms.

Prashant Rai,¹ Martin Sharpe,¹ Charan K. Ganta,² Paul J. Baker,³ Katrin D. Mayer-Barber,³ Brian E. Fee,⁴ Gregory A. Taylor,^{4,5,6,7} and Michael B. Fessler¹

¹Immunity, Inflammation and Disease Laboratory and ²Comparative & Molecular Pathogenesis Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA.

³Laboratory of Clinical Immunology and Microbiology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. ⁴Department of Medicine and Center for the Study of Aging and Human Development, ⁵Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, and ⁶Department of Immunology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA. ⁷Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, Durham VA Health Care System, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

1. Dockterman J, Coers J. How did we get here? Insights into mechanisms of immunity-related GTPase targeting to intracellular pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2022;69:102189.
2. Rai P, et al. IRGM1 links mitochondrial quality control to autoimmunity. *Nat Immunol.* 2021;22(3):312–321.
3. McNab F, et al. Type I interferons in infectious disease. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2015;15(2):87–103.
4. Matta SK, et al. *Toxoplasma gondii* effector TgIST blocks type I interferon signaling to promote infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019;116(35):17480–17491.
5. Ardavin C, et al. Mouse tissue-resident peritoneal macrophages in homeostasis, repair, infection, and tumor metastasis. *Adv Sci (Weinh).* 2023;10(11):e2206617.

Address correspondence to: Prashant Rai or Michael B. Fessler, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, 111 T.W. Alexander Drive, PO Box 12233, MD D2-01, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA. Phone: 984.287.4081; Email: prashant.raai@nih.gov (PR); fesslerm@niehs.nih.gov (MBF).

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Copyright: © 2023, Rai et al. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Submitted: May 3, 2023; **Accepted:** September 6, 2023; **Published:** November 1, 2023.

Reference information: *J Clin Invest.* 2023;133(21):e171982.

<https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI171982>.