

Survey Results

SBRP Annual Meeting – January 12-13, 2006 – New York, New York

Outreach/Research Translation Cores

15) Did you find Outreach/Research Translation Cores sessions to be informative?

Yes	No
89.3% (25)	10.7% (3)

16) There is considerable overlap in Outreach/Research Translation Core project leadership, making it difficult to hold concurrent Outreach Core and Research Translation Core sessions. Did you find the combined Outreach/Research Translation sessions to be a valuable use of conference time?

Yes	No
92.3% (24)	7.7% (2)

17) What format would you recommend be considered by the host of the 2006 Annual Meeting?

Combined Outreach/Research Translation sessions, as at the 2005 meeting	One combined session, plus one Outreach Core session and one Research Translation Core session	No combined sessions, instead have separate, concurrent Outreach Core and Research Translation Core sessions	Other
55.6% (15)	29.6% (8)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)

Other: You might consider whether these sessions could be at times that do not overlap with the research sessions- maybe the day before or after.

18) What Outreach and/or Research Translation topics would you like included at future meetings?

- Difficulties of conducting activities at real sites.
- How the RTC of different program projects might work together.

- Examples of interesting projects - these should be rotated over time so that each project gets to present. Assessment/evaluation will always be an issue and needs discussion.
- discussion on visual communication and science education
- Present successful case studies and develop discussion of performance indicators into evaluation useful tools w/ help from professionals in the field.
- More time for a focused evaluation session like the one led by Nancy Serrell, maybe have a hands-on exercise where participants evaluate one of their activities
- Maybe a brief report on what each of the programs that have Research Translation Cores have done. (For that part of the program.) If not, then a poster on the RTC would be okay.
- Activities related to the interaction with communities affected by soil contamination.
- environmental justice and community-based participatory research; issues of working directly with community organizations; presentations by program officers in relevant NIEHS programs, eg Liam O'Fallon
- the many challenges (including legal) working with communities near contaminated sites; novel aspects of research translation.

19) Is it valuable to have Outreach and/or Research Translation Core posters at the Annual Meeting?

Yes	No
84.6% (22)	15.4% (4)

20) Please add comments or suggestions about the Outreach/Research Translation Sessions:

- The posters on outreach/translation were more program advertisements than having actual content. I realize this was a transitional year so it is too soon for the new or proposed programs to have much to show.
- Only need posters if there is no presentation about what the Outreach or RTC is doing.
- The format used in the 2005 Annual Conference was very good and should be continued for the next annual conference.
- each program should have a poster on Outreach and/or Research Translation - - interactions at this session were valuable, even for an old geezer from Texas like me!