

Uniform Amplification of Phage Display Libraries Using Microfluidic Technology Laurel A. Coons^{1,3,}, Agnes K. Janoshazi², C.J. Tucker², Donald P. McDonnell³, Kenneth S. Korach¹


¹Laboratory of Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC ²Laboratory of Signal Transduction, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC ³Department of Pharmacology & Cancer Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

Abstract

Phage display is a widely-used research and drug discovery technique for creating and screening highly diverse peptide libraries to identify ligands for any target. Affinity selection involves panning of a phage library to enrich for target-binding clones followed by their amplification. Uniform amplification of all members of a library is of utmost importance for all selection experiments. If uniform amplification is not achieved, bias is introduced into the selection that favors faster growing clones regardless of the selection pressure applied. This results in the potential elimination of many biologically relevant binding clones from the screen. Microfluidic flow-focusing technology is used to generate monodisperse droplet-based compartments to encapsulate individual phage clones, resulting in the elimination of competition between phage clones with different growth rates. The elimination of growth-based competition ensures that selection of binding clones is driven only by the binding strength of each clone. The knowledge of these target interactions is an integral part of investigating the mechanistic interactions in cell signaling and is a novel approach for library screening.

Introduction

Selection from phage display libraries is driven by two independent selection processes: (1) the panning step enriches clones that bind to the desired target or any other physical moieties present during the panning step (2) the <u>amplification</u> step (infection of bacteria by a single phage particle to create multiple copies of genetically identical phage) enriches clones that have a growth advantage/amplify faster during any of the amplification steps. As a result, fast growing weak or nonspecific binders may overgrow and ultimately be 'selected' over more desirable slowly growing strong binders. Amplification induced loss of diversity severely hinders the identification of ligands from any display system. To eliminate this bias, individual phage must be separated into different growth chambers so they cannot compete for bacterial hosts.



Objective

Uniform amplification cannot be achieved when multiple phage having different growth rates compete with each other in a common solution.

Use Microfluidic Technology to generate monodisperse droplet-based compartments to encapsulate individual phage clones from a mixture of clones possessing different growth characteristics.

The ratio between clones can be preserved if, and only if, the clones are isolated from each other and all compartments are the same size.


4 = = 44.

compartmentalization

in droplets

Materials and Methods

Materials



Results

- True uniform amplification of phage libraries can be achieved in monodisperse droplets formed in a microfluidics channel
 - Droplets isolate phage clones from one another Impermeability: bacteria & phage cannot jump b/w droplets
 - Supply each clone with an equal number of bacteria Monodispersity: each droplet is identical in size
 - Allow amplification to go to completion Droplet Stability: allowing all bacteria to be infected by phage
- Droplet amplification of phage libraries eliminates the competition between phage clones that have different growth characteristics
- The elimination of growth-based competition ensures that selection of binding clones is driven only by the binding strength of each clone.
- Elimination of undesired competition between different phage clones enable:
 - * Selection of wider repertoire of target-binding phage independent of their relative rates of replication
 - * Identification of rare ligands


Phage Growth Capacity

* Prediction of target affinity based on abundance of clones

The Problem:


Phage Growth Capacity

Panning vs. Rate of Amplification




Phage Growth Capacity

Phage Growth Capacity



Droplet Monodispersity

Encapsulate Nanoliter Volumes of Aqueous Solutions




Droplet Stability


Water-In-Oil Emulsion:

- Stabilized by non-ionic Fluorosurfactant PFPE-PEG-PFPE
- Make droplets compatible with biological molecules and cells

- Phage must remain in separate droplets with bacteria hosts for the duration of amplification (4-5 hr). No coalescence of droplets during this incubation period
- Each droplet must contain a clonal population of phage. *Dilution*
- During amplification of phage, bacterial host require oxygen and nutrients perfluorinated solvents are highly permeable to O2



Droplet Incubation




Droplets remain stable/monodisperse and do not coalesce for 72+ hrs




Bacteria & Phage Encapsulation

T Junction




Bacterial Growth In Droplets Encapsulation = 5 bacteria / droplet




No Mixing Prior To Encapsulation High Reynolds Constant

Lateral movement of bacteria at droplet wall = Impermeabili

Isolation of Phage In Separate Droplets Containing Bacteria Eliminates Competition During Amplification



Phage Do Not Hop Between Droplets



- Uniform Amplification of Display System Phage, Ribosome, RNA, DNA
- Ultrahigh-Throughput Biological Screens
- Compartmentalized Chemistry Mini Chemical Reactors

DNA Pyrosequencing/PCR Amplification/ RT-PCR

References

Derda, R.; Tang, S.K.; Li, S.C.; Ng, S.; Matochko, W.; Jafari, M.R. *Molecules* 2011; 16: 1776-1803 Derda R, Musah S, Orner BP, Klim JR, Li L, Kiessling LL. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010; 132:1289–1295. Derda, R., Tang, Sindy K. Y. and Whitesides, George M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2010; 49: 5301–5304. Hoen PA. Jirka SM. Ten Broeke BR. Schultes EA, Aguilera B, Pang KH, Heemskerk H, Aartsma-Rus A, van Ommen GJ, den Dunnen JT. Anal Biochem. 2012;421(2):622-31. Kuzmicheva GA, Jayanna PK, Sorokulova IB, Petrenko VA. Protein Eng Des Sel. 2009; 22(1):9-18. Lauren R.H. Krumpe, Toshiyuki Mori. Int J Pept Res Ther. 2006; 12(1): 79–91. Matochko WL, Ng S, Jafari MR, Romaniuk J, Tang SK, Derda R. Methods. 2012; 58(1):18-27. Wilson DR, Finlay BB. Can J Microbiol. 1998; 44(4):313-29. Dolomite Centre Ltd. Droplet Junction Chips. DJC 3000301